HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

REV. PETITION NO. 74/2007

APPLICANT Respondent No.7	Mohammed Abrar, S/o. Mohammed Iqbal (wrongly mentioned in the impugned order as 'Iqbal Ahmed') aged about 45 years, president Jama Masjid, Noori Masjid Trust Committee, Raigarh, R/o. Gaushalapara, Raigarh Tehsil and District Raigarh (CG)
Vs.	
RESPONDENTS (Petitioner No. 1)	1. M.A. Gaffar, S/o. Abdul Sattar, aged about 72 years, R/o. Palace Road, Raigarh Tehsil and District Raigarh (CG)
(Petitioner No.2)	2. Mohammed Muneer, S/o. Abdul Sattar, aged about 45 years, R/o. Handi Chowk, Raigarh Tehsil and District Raigarh (CG)
	3. Inspector General of Police, Bilaspur Division, Bilaspur (CG)
	4. Superintendent of Police, Raigarh (CG)
	5. Chief Executive Officer, Chhattisgarh State Waqf Board, Raipur (CG)
	6. Officer-in-charge, City Kotwali, Raigarh, District Raigarh (CG)
	7. District Magistrate, Raigarh (CG)

APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF ORDER

(SB: Hon'ble Mr. **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.)**

Smt. Hamida Siddiqui, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Vivek Sharma, Panel Lawyer for the State.

ORAL ORDER

(Passed on this 19th day of November 2007)

Learned counsel, Smt. Hamida Siddiqui appearing for review petitioner/ respondent No.7 seeks review of the order dated 5th July

2007, passed by this Court in W.P.(C) No. 2853/2007 whereunder this Court in para 5, while recording the prayer of the writ petitioner, directed to consider and examine the complaint dated 26.02.2007 in accordance with law, on its own merits, within a reasonable period.

2. Learned counsel submits that the words used "that the applications have been moved under the provisions of National Securty Act" are being misunderstood and the news items published in some newspapers to that effect has damaged the reputation and image of the review petitioner.

3. It is clear that the order dated 5th July 2007 does not indicate the finding of the Court. The order states about the application and thereafter when a direction was made it was stated that the complaint dated 26.02.2007 be considered in accordance with law on its own merits. Thus, the applications moved under the provisions of National Security Act is not the finding of the Court but was recorded by the Court in the context of prayer clause No. 7.3 in writ petition i.e. W.P.(C) 2853/2007.

4. In view of the foregoing, no review is necessary. No other ground has been pleaded seeking review of the order dated 5th July 2007.

5. The review petition is disposed of, accordingly.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Suguna